Dr. Janis Endres – Dr. Florence-Nathalie Sentuc – Uwe Büttner

# Review of Current Q System and the $A_1/A_2$ Values of the IAEA Transport Regulation





#### Historical overview - development of the Q system

- MacDonald, Goldfinch: Radioactive Material Transport Package Activity Release Limits. IAEA-TECDOC-375 (1986)
- Development of the Q system as basis of an improved A<sub>1</sub>/A<sub>2</sub> system considering (at this time) up-to-date recommendations of ICRP

- Today, basis for calculation of A values are different exposure pathways of Q values (Q<sub>A</sub>,...,Q<sub>E</sub>)
- Both, A values and Q values are activities given in unit TBq

# EUROSAFE 2016

# **Current Q system (1)**

- Q system shall provide inherent safety
- Developed in 1985 with a revision of the Transport Regulations of the IAEA
- Provides calculation methods of allowed activity quantities in Typ A packages
- A values are calculated for radioactive material
  - in special form (A1 value) and
  - in non-special form (A2 value)



# **Current Q system (2)**

- The Q system was aligned in 1996 to (at this time) new recommendations of ICRP publication 60 of 1990
  - new definition of quantity effective dose
  - Reviewed dose coefficients for inhalation and ingestion
  - New dose coefficients for external exposure (US EPA FGR-12)
  - Reviewed dose calculation of gamma radiation and beta radiation
  - Reviewed assessment of neutron radiation



# **Current Q system (3)**

- The current Q system
  - Provides nuclide dependent  $A_1/A_2$  values
  - Allows to transport radioactive material independently of shape or quantity (as long as rules of the Q system and Transport regulations are satisfied)
- Additional regulations are given for
  - Fissile material



– Uranium hexafluoride (UF<sub>6</sub>)









# **Current Q system (4)**

The current Q system knows 5 (or 6) exposure pathways

- Q<sub>A</sub>: external photon dose
- Q<sub>B</sub>: external beta dose
- Q<sub>C</sub>: inhalation dose
- Q<sub>D</sub>: skin dose and ingestion dose due to contamination transfer
- Q<sub>E</sub>: submersion dose



 $Q_{F}$ 

nca

•  $Q_{\rm F}$ : alpha emitter ("special case" of  $Q_{\rm C}$ )

# **Current Q system (5)**

- Distance: 1 m
- Exposure time: 30 minutes
- Applied dose limits:
  - 50 mSv effective dose
  - 500 mSv equivalent dose (incl. skin dose)
  - 150 mSv dose for the lens of the eye

- $A_1 = \min \{Q_A, Q_B, (Q_F)\}$
- $A_2 = \min \{Q_A, Q_B, Q_C, Q_D, Q_E, (Q_F)\}$



## Work of GRS regarding the Q system

- Federal Office for Radiation Protection (BfS) and Federal Ministry for the Environment, Nature Conservation, Building and Nuclear Safety (BMUB) granted GRS research projects
- Aims of this research projects (amongst others):
  - Analysis of the current Q system
  - Development of a calculation tool for calculation of Q and A values for new nuclides
- Some issues of the current Q system were detected
- Calculation tool BerQATrans developed for recalculation of current and of new Q and A values according the current Q system
- Publication in GRS report No. GRS-343

## Some issues in the current Q system

- Q and A values are partly based on outdated input data
- Dose coefficients listed in TS-G-1.1/SSG-26 for Q<sub>c</sub> values are partly not consistent with dose coefficients of ICRP 68
  - No reference is given for dose coefficients in TS-G-1.1/SSG-26
- Dose rate coefficients in TS-G-1.1/SSG-26 seem to be calculated backwards from listed Q values
  - Main impact for for small coefficients
- Q values are limited to 1000 TBq without justification
- Determination of "unlimited" values for LSA material is not documented in detail
- Treatment of progeny differs between the Q value exposure pathways
   EUROSRFE 2016

## **Calculation tool BerQATrans**

- Written in MS Excel VBA
- Designed to
  - Recalculate existing Q and A values listed in SSG-26,
  - Calculate new values for nuclides not listed in SSG-26.
- With BerQATrans it is possible to use up-to-date nuclide data from ICRP publications 107, 116, or 119
- Calculation of Q and A values for 768 nuclides (373 nuclides are listed in SSR-6) using calculation methods of the current Q system



A-werte	ni qemais	uem Q-3	vstern	© 2014 - 2015 Gesellschaft für Anlagen- und Reaktorsicherheit (GRS) gGmbH, Kö							
<u>Ergebr</u>	nisse A	-Werte					Rechnung von Montag, 22. August 2016, 11:30 Uhr				
Nuklid	chem. Form	Halbwer	tszeit	Q <sub>A</sub> (TBq)	Q <sub>B</sub> (TBq)	Q c (TBq)	Q <sub>D</sub> (TBq)	Q <sub>E</sub> (TBq)	Q <sub>F</sub> (TBq)	А <sub>1</sub> (ТВq)	А <sub>2</sub> (ТВq)
Ac-225	s	10	d	4,8E+00	7,7E-01	6,3E-03	2,9E-01		6,3E+01	8E-01	6E-03
Ac-227	F	21,773	а	1,0E+03	1,2E+02	9,3E-05	3,5E+01		9,3E-01	9E-01	9E-05
Ac-228	F	6,13	h	1,1E+00	5,3E-01	2,0E+00	4,9E-01		1,0E+03	5E-01	5E-01
Ag-105	s	41	d	2,0E+00	1,0E+03	6,4E+01	2,5E+01			2E+00	2E+00
Ag-108m	s	127	а	6,7E-01	5,6E+00	1,4E+00	5,6E+00			7E-01	7E-01
Ag-110m	s	249,9	d	4,0E-01	1,7E+01	4,2E+00	2,0E+00			4E-01	4E-01
Ag-111	s	7,45	d	4,2E+01	1,8E+00	2,9E+01	5,9E-01			2E+00	6E-01
AI-26	м	7,16E+05	а	4,2E-01	1,3E+00	2,8E+00	8,2E-01			4E-01	4E-01
Am-241	м	432,2	а	2,9E+01	1,0E+03	1,3E-03	3,3E+02		1,3E+01	1E+01	1E-03
Am-242m	м	152	а	4,0E+01	4,5E+01	1,4E-03	9,9E-01		1,4E+01	1E+01	1E-03
Am-243	м	7,38E+03	а	5,0E+00	2,1E+02	1,3E-03	4,0E-01		1,3E+01	5E+00	1E-03
Ar-37		35,02	d	1,0E+03	1,0E+03		1,0E+03	k. W.		4E+01	4E+01
Ar-39		269	а	k. W.	6,3E+01			1,8E+01		4E+01	2E+01
Ar-41		1.827	h	9.1E-01	2.9E-01			3.1E-01		3E-01	3E-01

EUROSAFE

## **Results of the research project of GRS (1)**

- Recalculation of most nuclides of SSR-6 using BerQATrans
  - deviations from tabulated values are up to a factor of two
- 8 nuclides show larger deviations than a factor of two
  - <sup>26</sup>AI, <sup>47</sup>Ca, <sup>166</sup>Dy, <sup>202</sup>Pb, <sup>225</sup>Ra, <sup>92</sup>Sr, <sup>96m</sup>Tc, <sup>231</sup>Th (see next slide)
- Good agreement of values calculated with BerQATrans
- Identification of issues



# **Results of the research project of GRS (2)**

Nuclide	Remarks to values calculated with BerQATrans
<sup>26</sup> AI	$Q_{\rm B}$ value lesser than in SSG-26; therefore, $Q_{\rm A}$ value restricts $A_1/A_2$ values
<sup>47</sup> Ca	$Q_A$ and $Q_B$ values lesser than in SSG-26; now $Q_B$ values restricts $A_1$ value
<sup>166</sup> Dy	$Q_{\rm B}$ value lesser than in SSG-26; therefore, $A_1$ value lesser too
<sup>202</sup> Pb	$Q_{\rm D}$ value higher than in SSG-26 and "unlimited"; therefore $A_1/A_2$ values "unlimited" too
<sup>225</sup> Ra	$Q_{\rm B}$ value and $Q_{\rm C}$ value higher than in SSG-26; therefore, $A_1$ value and $A_2$ value higher
<sup>92</sup> Sr	Q <sub>C</sub> value calculated with progeny in TS-G-1.1 (2008)
<sup>96m</sup> Tc	$Q_{\rm C}$ and $Q_{\rm D}$ values calculated with progeny in TS-G-1.1 (2008)
<sup>231</sup> Th	higher deviation of $Q_{\rm C}$ value, possibly calculated with progeny in TS-G-1.1 (2008)

# EUROSAFE 2016

## **Q** system from the point of view of other organizations

#### • Review of the Q system by several other organizations

- (e.g. Health Protection Agency with report HPA-CRCE-027, September 2011)
  - Development of calculation program
  - Recalculation of Q and A values
  - Calculation of new nuclides
- Suggestion of TRANSSC (Transport Safety Standards Committee) members
  - meeting of organizations from France, Japan, Germany, and United Kingdom was held in September 2013 at GRS in Cologne, Germany



## **Q** system from the point of view of other organizations

- Foundation of an international working group
  - "Working group on review of A<sub>1</sub> and A<sub>2</sub> values for the IAEA Transport Regulations"
  - Aim: review and update of calculation methods of the Q system according the actual state-of-the-art of science and technology



## **International Working Group**

- Beginning in 2014 the working group met several times
- Request by TRANSSC in September 2015
  - Calculation of Q and A values for 5 new nuclides
  - Calculations were performed by HPE, NRA and GRS
    - Results of BerQATrans from GRS are shown below
    - Data used from ICRP 38, <sup>193m</sup>Ir with data from ICRP 107

Nuclide	Q <sub>A</sub> (TBq)	Q <sub>в</sub> (TBq)	Q <sub>C</sub> (TBq)	Q <sub>D</sub> (TBq)	А <sub>1</sub> (ТВq)	А <sub>2</sub> (ТВq)
<sup>135m</sup> Ba	1.6×10 <sup>1</sup>	1.0×10 <sup>3</sup>	3.3×10 <sup>2</sup>	5.9×10 <sup>-1</sup>	2×10 <sup>1</sup>	6×10 <sup>-1</sup>
<sup>69</sup> Ge	1.3×10 <sup>0</sup>	7.1×10 <sup>0</sup>	1.7×10 <sup>2</sup>	4.5×10 <sup>0</sup>	1×10 <sup>0</sup>	1×10 <sup>0</sup>
<sup>193m</sup> lr	8.3×10 <sup>2</sup>	1.0×10 <sup>3</sup>	4.2×10 <sup>1</sup>	4.2×10 <sup>0</sup>	4×10 <sup>1</sup>	4×10 <sup>0</sup>
<sup>57</sup> Ni	5.9×10 <sup>-1</sup>	2.0×10 <sup>1</sup>	8.9×10 <sup>1</sup>	3.3×10 <sup>0</sup>	6×10 <sup>-1</sup>	6×10 <sup>-1</sup>
<sup>83</sup> Sr	1.4×10 <sup>0</sup>	1.4×10 <sup>1</sup>	1.5×10 <sup>2</sup>	8.7×10 <sup>0</sup>	1×10 <sup>0</sup>	1×10 <sup>0</sup>
					DOCO	

## **Review of Q and A values**

- Use of Monte-Carlo methods
  - State-of-the-art method
  - Including all particles of interest
  - Taking all relevant particle interactions into account
  - Considering secondary particles
    - E.g. bremsstrahlung
  - Several issues of the current Q system can be solved
  - Disadvantage: Computing time
- International working group defines conditions for Monte-Carlo simulations

# EUROSAFE 2016

## **New Code Development: MCBAS**

- Monte-Carlo Based A-value Simulator (MCBAS)
  - C++ based code
  - Currently under development by GRS
- Modular structure
  - Simple change or update of input files
    - Nuclear data
    - Dose coefficients
    - New nuclides
    - Neutron sources (AmBe, etc.)
- Decoupled from Monte-Carlo simulations



## **MCBAS – Decoupling from MC Simulations**

- Time consuming MC simulations done in advance
  - MC simulations generate flux spectra
    - For all particles of interest ( $\alpha$ ,  $\gamma$ ,  $\beta$ –,  $\beta$ +, n)
    - For certain energies
      - 5 keV steps up to 100 keV particle energy
      - 10 keV steps above 100 keV particle energy
      - Smaller steps for neutrons
    - For all relevant particles passing a surface at 1 m distance
  - All flux spectra form a database
  - Database serves as input for MCBAS



## **MCBAS – Advantages**

- Independent of time consuming MC simulations
  - MCBAS is very fast
  - Installation on PCs without MC codes
- Modularity
  - Simple update of input files
- Fast calculation (without further MC simulations) of
  - New nuclides
  - Neutron sources
- Main Disadvantage: Energy uncertainty of real particle energy and next available simulated flux spectra
  - Max. 2.5 keV for energies below 100 keV
     Max. 5 keV for energies above 100 keV
  - Max. 5 keV for energies above 100 keV

## **MCBAS – Status and First Results**

- A<sub>1</sub> values can be calculated
- Procedure for  $A_2$  values will be discussed within the WG
- Qualification process is ongoing
- Improvement of statistics and error analysis to be performed
- First results: Comparison of dose rate coefficients *e*<sub>pt</sub> for photons between MCBAS and BerQATrans

Nuclide	ė <sub>pt</sub> (MCBAS) [Sv/Bq/h]	ė <sub>pt</sub> (BER) [Sv/Bq/h]	Ratio	Nuclide	ė <sub>pt</sub> (MCBAS) [Sv/Bq/h]	ė <sub>pt</sub> (BER) [Sv/Bq/h]	Ratio
<sup>60</sup> Co	2.18E-13	2.2E-13	1.01	<sup>18</sup> F	8.23E-14	9.2E-14	1.12
<sup>134</sup> Cs	1.43E-13	1.4E-13	0.98	<sup>192</sup> lr	6.83E-14	7.5E-14	1.10
<sup>137</sup> Cs	5.33E-14	5.3E-14	1.00	<sup>85</sup> Kr	2.31E-16	2.1E-16	0.91
<sup>154</sup> Eu	1.08E-13	1.1E-13	1.02	<sup>106</sup> Rh	1.86E-14	1.9E-14	1.02
					E	UROS	SAFE

# Thank you for your attention!

